

# Package ‘Pinference’

October 6, 2025

**Title** Probability Inference for Propositional Logic

**Version** 0.2.5

**Description** Implementation of T. Hailperin's procedure to calculate lower and upper bounds of the probability for a propositional-logic expression, given equality and inequality constraints on the probabilities for other expressions. Truth-valuation is included as a special case. Applications range from decision-making and probabilistic reasoning, to pedagogical for probability and logic courses. For more details see T. Hailperin (1965) <[doi:10.1080/00029890.1965.11970533](https://doi.org/10.1080/00029890.1965.11970533)>, T. Hailperin (1996) ``Sentential Probability Logic" ISBN:0-934223-45-9, and package documentation. Requires the 'lpSolve' package.

**License** AGPL (>= 3)

**Encoding** UTF-8

**RoxygenNote** 7.3.3

**Depends** R (>= 3.5.0)

**Imports** lpSolve

**VignetteBuilder** knitr

**URL** <https://pglpm.github.io/Pinference/>,

<https://github.com/pglpm/Pinference/>

**Suggests** knitr, rmarkdown

**NeedsCompilation** no

**Author** PierGianLuca Porta Mana [aut, cre, cph] (ORCID:

<<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6070-0784>>)

**Maintainer** PierGianLuca Porta Mana <[pgl@portamana.org](mailto:pgl@portamana.org)>

**Repository** CRAN

**Date/Publication** 2025-10-06 08:00:24 UTC

## Contents

inferP . . . . .	2
<b>Index</b>	<b>6</b>

inferP

*Calculate lower and upper probability bounds***Description**

inferP() calculates the minimum and maximum allowed values of the probability for a propositional-logic expression conditional on another one, given numerical or equality constraints for the conditional probabilities for other propositional-logic expressions.

**Usage**

```
inferP(target, ..., solidus = TRUE)
```

**Arguments**

target	The target probability expression (see Details).
...	Probability constraints (see Details).
solidus	logical. If TRUE (default), the symbol   is used to introduce the conditional in the probability; in this case any use of    for the 'or'-connective will lead to an error. If FALSE, the symbol ~ is used to introduce the conditional; in this case the symbols  ,    can be used for the 'or'-connective.

**Details**

The function takes as first argument the probability for a logical expression, conditional on another expression, and as subsequent (optional) arguments the constraints on the probabilities for other logical expressions. Propositional logic is intended here.

The function uses the `lpSolve::lp()` function from the **lpSolve** package.

**Logical expressions:**

A propositional-logic expression is a combination of atomic propositions by means of logical connectives. Atomic propositions can have any name that satisfies **R syntax for object names**. Examples:

```
a
A
hypothesis1
coin.lands.tails
coin_lands_heads
`tomorrow it rains` # note the backticks
```

Available logical connectives are "not" (negation, " $\neg$ "), "and" (conjunction, " $\wedge$ "), "or" (disjunction, " $\vee$ "), "if-then" (implication, " $\Rightarrow$ "). The first three follow the standard R syntax for logical operators (see [base::logical](#)):

- Not: ! or -
- And: & or && or \*

- Or: +; if argument solidus = FALSE, also || or | are allowed.

The "if-then" connective is represented by the infix operator >; internally  $x > y$  is simply defined as  $x$  or not- $y$ .

Examples of logical expressions:

```
a
a & b
(a + hypothesis1) & -A
red.ball & ((a > !b) + c)
```

#### Probabilities of logical expressions:

The probability of an expression  $X$  conditional on an expression  $Y$  is entered with syntax similar to the common mathematical notation  $P(X|Y)$ . The solidus "|" is used to separate the conditional (note that in usual R syntax such symbol stands for logical "or" instead). If the argument solidus = FALSE is given in the function, then the tilde "~" is used instead of the solidus (note that in usual R syntax such symbol introduces a formula instead). For instance

```
P(!a ∨ b | c ∧ H)
```

can be entered in the following ways, among others (extra spaces added just for clarity):

```
P(!a + b | c & H)
P(-a + b | c && H)
P(!a + b | c * H)
```

or, if argument solidus = FALSE, in the following ways:

```
P(!a | b ~ c & H)
P(-a + b ~ c && H)
P(!a || b ~ c * H)
```

It is also possible to use p or Pr or pr instead of P.

#### Probability constraints:

Each probability constraint can have one of these four forms:

```
P(X | Z) = [number between 0 and 1]
```

```
P(X | Z) = P(Y | Z)
```

```
P(X | Z) = P(Y | Z) * [positive number]
```

```
P(X | Z) = P(Y | Z) / [positive number]
```

where  $X, Y, Z$  are logical expressions. Note that the conditionals on the left and right sides must be the same. Inequalities  $<= >=$  are also allowed instead of equalities.

See the accompanying vignette for more interesting examples.

#### Value

A vector of min and max values for the target probability, or NA if the constraints are mutually contradictory. If min and max are 0 and 1 then the constraints do not restrict the target probability in any way.

## References

T. Hailperin: *Best Possible Inequalities for the Probability of a Logical Function of Events*. Am. Math. Monthly 72(4):343, 1965 doi:[10.1080/00029890.1965.11970533](https://doi.org/10.1080/00029890.1965.11970533).

T. Hailperin: *Sentential Probability Logic: Origins, Development, Current Status, and Technical Applications*. Associated University Presses, 1996 [https://archive.org/details/hailperin1996-Sentential\\_probability\\_logic/](https://archive.org/details/hailperin1996-Sentential_probability_logic/).

## Examples

```
## No constraints
inferP(
  target = P(a | h)
)

## Trivial example with inequality constraint
inferP(
  target = P(a | h),
  P(!a | h) >= 0.2
)

#' ## The probability of an "and" is always less
## than the probabilities of the and-ed propositions:
inferP(
  target = P(a & b | h),
  P(a | h) == 0.3,
  P(b | h) == 0.6
)

## P(a & b | h) is completely determined
## by P(a | h) and P(b | a & h):
inferP(
  target = P(a & b | h),
  P(a | h) == 0.3,
  P(b | a & h) == 0.2
)

## Logical implication (modus ponens)
inferP(
  target = P(b | I),
  P(a | I) == 1,
  P(a > b | I) == 1
)

## Cut rule of sequent calculus
inferP(
  target = P(X + Y | I & J),
  P(A & X | I) == 1,
  P(Y | A & J) == 1
)

## Solution to the Monty Hall problem (see accompanying vignette):
```

```
inferP(  
  target = P(car2 | you1 & host3 & I),  
  ##  
  P(car1 & car2 | I) == 0,  
  P(car1 & car3 | I) == 0,  
  P(car2 & car3 | I) == 0,  
  P(car1 + car2 + car3 | I) == 1,  
  P(host1 & host2 | I) == 0,  
  P(host1 & host3 | I) == 0,  
  P(host2 & host3 | I) == 0,  
  P(host1 + host2 + host3 | I) == 1,  
  P(host1 | you1 & I) == 0,  
  P(host2 | car2 & I) == 0,  
  P(host3 | car3 & I) == 0,  
  P(car1 | I) == P(car2 | I),  
  P(car2 | I) == P(car3 | I),  
  P(car1 | you1 & I) == P(car2 | you1 & I),  
  P(car2 | you1 & I) == P(car3 | you1 & I),  
  P(host2 | you1 & car1 & I) == P(host3 | you1 & car1 & I)  
)
```

# Index

`base::logical`, 2

`inferP`, 2

`lpSolve::lp()`, 2